Sap Comments (CDC)

From:	J. Michael McIntosh [mcintosh.mike@gmail.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, August 11, 2010 1:05 PM
To:	Sap Comments (CDC)
Cc:	Jim Johnston; Michele Johnson; Doju Yoshikami
Subject: Attachments:	Comments on the changes to the list of select agents and toxins ld50s-2_dy.doc

Comments on the changes to the list of select agents and toxins

Conotoxins should be excluded from, or relocated to a low tier on, the select agent list.

The term "conotoxin" is used to refer to a large number of polypeptides derived from the venom of marine snails of the genus *Conus*. Based upon available experimental evidence, conotoxins do not possess sufficient acute toxicity to pose a substantial public health threat. Furthermore, many serve as valuable research reagents, and a subset of these peptides are being utilized as actual or potential human therapeutics¹.

All toxins are not necessarily highly toxic. The toxicity of the most toxic conotoxins is rather modest. The most conservative estimate of LD_{50} published for any conotoxin is 5 microgram/kg in mice by i.p. injection. In comparison the common and widely available plant alkaloid nicotine has an **oral** LD_{50} of 3 mg/kg in mice and is 3-6 times more toxic in humans². In addition, nicotine is readily absorbed through the skin. That is, whereas a conotoxin must be physically injected to be effective, nicotine can simply be swallowed or passed through the skin. By comparison, botlinum toxin and tetanus toxin have LD_{50} values of ~ 0.5 ng/kg³. In other words, these two bacterial toxins are 10,000 times more toxic than the most toxic conotoxin.

Conotoxins are also expensive to produce in even modest quantities. In contrast, a single cigarette contains ~ 9 mg of nicotine, and a piece of nicotine gum contains 2-4 mg of nicotine Thus, there is enough extractable nicotine in a single pack of cigarettes (20 cigarettes/pack) or box of chewing gum (~100 pieces/box) to kill multiple people (human LD_{50} 40-60 mg)². There must be a realistic sense of proportion when investing scarce state and national resources towards biosecurity. Indeed, the image that conotoxins represent likely bioterrorist weapons is so misleading, that a consensus of experts in the toxin field has proposed referring to conotoxins as "conopeptides" instead, to avoid their unearned stigma.¹

In conclusion, in view of their relative innocuousness, conotoxins should be excluded from, or relocated to a low tier on, the select agent list.

- 1. P. Favreau and R. Stocklin, Marine snail venoms: use and trends in receptor and channel neuropharmacology, Current Opinion in Pharmacology, 2009, 9:594-601
- 2. Material Safety Data Sheet; <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nicotine#Toxicology</u>; Gosselin RE (1988). Clinical toxicology of Commercial Products. VI. ed Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins: 311-313.
- 3. D. Michael Gill, Bacterial Toxins: a Table of Lethal Amounts, Microbiological Reviews, 1982, 46:1, pp 86-94.

Thank you for your consideration.

--

J. Michael McIntosh

Professor and Director of Research, Dept. of Psychiatry

Research Professor, Dept. of Biology University of Utah 257 S. 1400 E. Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0840 Phone: (801) 585-3622 Fax: (801) 585-5010

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.